

Non-Invasive Imaging of Atherosclerosis Regression With Magnetic Resonance to Guide Drug Development

Paolo Raggi, MD¹, Damiano Baldassarre, MD^{2,3}, Simon Day, PhD⁴, Eric de Groot, MD, PhD^{5,6},
Zahi Fayad, PhD⁷

¹Mazankowski Alberta Heart Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

²Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacologiche e Biomolecolari, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy

³Centro Cardiologico Monzino, IRCCS, Milan, Italy

⁴Clinical Trials Consulting & Training Limited, Buckingham, UK

⁵Imagelabonline & Cardiovascular, Eindhoven and Lunteren, The Netherlands

⁶Academic Medical Centre, Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁷Translational and Molecular Imaging Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

Conflict of interest statement: all authors received honoraria from Cerenis Therapeutics; there no other relevant conflicts to disclose

Acknowledgement: Cerenis Therapeutics provided funding to complete this publication.

Abstract

Slowing of progression and inducing the regression of atherosclerosis with medical therapy have been shown to be associated with an extensive reduction in risk of cardiovascular events. This proof of concept was obtained with invasive angiographic studies but these are, for obvious reasons, impractical for sequential investigations. Non-invasive imaging has henceforth replaced the more cumbersome invasive studies and has proven extremely valuable in numerous occasions. Because of **excellent reproducibility and no radiation exposure**, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become the non-invasive method of choice to assess the efficacy of anti-atherosclerotic drugs. The ~~very~~ high accuracy of this technology is particularly helpful in rare diseases where the small number of affected patients makes the conduct of outcome-trials in large cohorts impractical. With MRI it is possible to assess the extent, as well as the composition, of atherosclerotic plaques and this further enhances the utility of this technology.

Introduction

Drug discovery and development follow a complex and long pathway that often does not lead to a commercially viable product. As the cost of drug development burgeoned to prohibitive levels over the past few decades, investigators and industry have looked for ways to curb expenses and increase efficiency minimizing possible failures. The development of new drugs to treat atherosclerosis is often met with the requirement to conduct lengthy outcome trials at extraordinary costs. To limit the possibility of failing to attain the desired outcome after having conducted a phase-3 trial, investigators have utilized surrogate targets that provide information on the burden and composition of atherosclerotic plaques.^{1,2,3} Particularly challenging is the development of drugs for rare diseases, where conducting randomized outcome trials is hampered by the limited number of patients affected by the condition under study. In this light, atherosclerosis imaging has provided intermediate goals to test effectiveness of novel treatments.^{4,5,6} There are indisputable advantages in using imaging endpoints. For example, while in mortality and morbidity trials the endpoint is only provided by patients who experience an event, in trials based on imaging biomarkers the endpoint is provided by every patient. This increases the statistical power of the study, thus leading to a reduction in the number of patients compared to event driven trials (tens or hundreds rather than thousands of subjects) and shorter follow-ups (6-24 months rather than 4-6 years). Given its potential impact on drug development, atherosclerosis imaging has been the focus of intense debate and growing interest among scientists, industry and regulators alike. In this paper we review the state of the art of magnetic resonance (MR) for vascular imaging, with a specific focus on its

implementation in the process of drug development. Magnetic resonance imaging can provide information on the location, extent and composition of atheromatous plaques in peripheral arterial beds and has been used in numerous trials to study regression of atherosclerosis.

However, to date MRI has demonstrated no utility to image coronary artery atherosclerotic plaques.

Imaging biomarkers and their utility

Atherosclerosis is mostly a silent disease and autopsy studies revealed that it is already present in youth.⁷ Because of its silent development its first manifestation is often a sudden and unheralded event. This induced scientists and physicians to develop a number of algorithms to estimate risk of events and stimulated the development of a large array of biomarkers and imaging modalities to assess the presence of atherosclerosis and its progression. The earliest attempts at measuring atherosclerosis progression were accomplished with invasive coronary angiography.^{8,9,10,11} Several studies demonstrated a powerful reduction in event rates with even minimal regression of luminal narrowing with various interventions.¹² Non-invasive imaging modalities were then tested to measure change in atherosclerotic plaque burden or composition and became rapidly popular as a means to test drug efficacy. Like any other biomarker, an imaging marker needs to meet methodological and regulatory requirements to provide acceptable outcome parameters.

Several approaches to biomarker validation have being taken. The NIH Definition Working Group¹³ defines a 'biomarker' as follows: 1. A biomarker is a characteristic that is objectively

measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacological responses to a therapeutic intervention. Boissel and co-workers proposed a framework to describe the scientific validity of laboratory parameters for biological outcomes.¹⁴ They posed three stipulations. First [*availability and convenience*], “although the surrogate endpoint should be easier to assess than the corresponding clinical endpoint, the most important advantageous characteristic of the biomarker is its potential to detect the disease process in its earlier stages, resulting in a higher frequency of detection of the disease than the corresponding clinical endpoint”. Consequently, validated biomarkers provide early and specific prediction of risk that allow implementation of preventive strategies. For an imaging biomarker to provide relevant data, availability of the imaging device in close proximity to study subjects is essential. Second, there should be a causal relationship between surrogate and clinical endpoint, both quantitatively and qualitatively, through epidemiological studies. Third, it should be possible to estimate the clinical benefit derived from a reduction in the incidence of the surrogate endpoint. A surrogate outcome must meet certain statistical criteria,¹⁵ such that it would allow mathematical modeling of a disease process and its consequences. Finally, to become an *accepted* outcome measure to evaluate efficacy of pharmaceutical products in clinical trials, regulatory acceptability must be met.

Agencies' Acceptance of Markers of Disease

Acceptance of surrogate markers (whether “biomarkers” or others) has long been hotly debated and opinions have swayed both in favor and against (some examples are change in CD4 cell count in HIV infected patients, change in PSA levels in prostate cancer and blood pressure measurements in cardiovascular health). In many cases there has been deep disagreement regarding the relevance of a surrogate endpoint. Progression free survival in oncology is hotly debated and it is not clear if the answer is a simple dichotomous “yes or no” but, rather, it might be cancer-specific. It is likely that surrogacy might also be drug-specific and the mechanism of action of one therapy to treat a particular disease might allow one marker as a reliable surrogate for drug alone and not another one intended for the same disease state. Therefore, regulators have been cautious about declaring any particular marker as an accepted surrogate. There are several examples where a compromise had to be reached. In terms of safety, it is often accepted to study “only” a few thousand patients in clinical trials, even though we know that databases of this size are unlikely to identify adverse reactions (possibly severe reactions) that occur in 1 in 1000 or more patients. Similarly, in terms of efficacy, it is often accepted to “only” study treatments for up to 1 – 2 years, even though they might represent life-long treatments. Clearly, these are examples of regulators being pragmatic and recognizing that the value of making new therapies available sooner, outweighs the risk involved. Other clear examples of pragmatism are in the orphan drug space where reality often dictates the need for flexibility. Such flexibility may relate to the size of the clinical database presented for review (number of patients, duration of follow-up, number of studies, etc.) but also on choice

of endpoints. In terms of size of database, for new products entering Phase III trials from 1 January 2000, an average of 731 patients were enrolled in orphan drug trials versus 3,540 in non-orphan drug trials.¹⁶

Clinical relevance of endpoints is important but so is the ability to study those endpoints in small clinical development programmes. The CHMP (Committee on Medical Products for Human Use) Guideline on Clinical Trials in Small Populations (Section 4)¹⁷ acknowledges that: “Time to disease progression is an endpoint of intermediate level and it requires a measure of disease severity or of disease progression. *Ideally, this should be validated* as a tool for use in clinical trials, but *it is recognised that there might be too few patients to use some for validating endpoints and others for testing treatments*. ... It is *preferable*, to be able to identify a causal relationship between treatment and a particular (beneficial) outcome.” Hence the acknowledgement that there is an “ideal” and “preferable” way to proceed, but it may not be always possible.

Three questions should be considered; (1) can a study with a “highly preferred” endpoint be conducted? (“highly preferred” might mean preferred by the regulators, and/or an obvious clinical endpoint); (2) is such an endpoint absolutely necessary in order to determine the clinical value of a therapy? and (3) is it actually desirable to use the “highly preferred” endpoint, or would a more efficient trial better serve the public health interest? To this end, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and MRI studies offer the opportunity to pursue more efficient endpoints (i.e. smaller trial sizes, with shorter duration and good level of assurance over the results) than more traditional “established clinical endpoints”. At the same time they may provide enough

information to inform a decision to pursue larger clinical trials or whether such trials should be stopped.¹⁸

Atherosclerosis Imaging for Plaque Regression: a Historical Perspective

Invasive and non-invasive imaging have been used extensively to study progression of atherosclerosis. A few pivotal principles govern sequential imaging of atherosclerosis to assess its temporal changes.¹ First, the test-to-test variability should be smaller than the change detected with sequential imaging. Second, the measured change should be clinically relevant and associated with meaningful outcomes. Atherosclerosis imaging began with quantitative invasive coronary angiography (QCA).⁷⁻¹⁰ Although QCA is not an atherosclerosis imaging technique per se, as it assesses the degree of luminal stenosis but not plaque volume and composition, it demonstrated that even minimal regression or slowing of progression of intraluminal coronary artery disease are associated with a large reduction in event rates.¹¹ Despite its success, QCA is limited by its invasive nature, the need to use iodinated contrast media and the exposure of patients to ionizing radiation and is therefore not fit for population studies. This stimulated and facilitated the development of non-invasive imaging modalities to detect atherosclerosis and study its progression. Pignoli et al¹⁹ were the first to demonstrate that the thickness of the arterial wall comprising the intima and media layers (IMT) of the femoral arteries was closely associated with atherosclerosis of the aorta. Given the greater ease and convenience of measurement, carotid IMT (cIMT) quickly replaced measurement of femoral IMT (**Figure 1**). Several epidemiological studies later showed that cIMT is an independent predictor of stroke and cardiovascular events in the general population.²⁰ As proof

of concept, over the last two decades, many investigators have employed cIMT as primary endpoint in clinical trials designed to identify populations at risk and assess the effectiveness of anti-atherosclerotic drugs.²¹

Although successfully employed to study the effect of cardiovascular drugs in large population trials,^{22,23,24} in several cases **randomized clinical trials using the same drugs that regressed cIMT gave inconclusive or negative results.** cIMT has several distinct disadvantages when used to evaluate the efficacy of drugs on regression and progression of atherosclerotic plaques. The main limitations of cIMT are the high degree of technical expertise required to perform accurate measurements and the high test-to-test measurement variability. As a consequence, large numbers of patients are typically needed to demonstrate treatment efficacy due to the small arterial wall changes. In addition, cIMT is measured in two-dimensional longitudinal images, while atherosclerosis is a three-dimensional, eccentric and irregular disease. Finally, the precision of cIMT measurement may be affected by vessel wall calcification (that causes “shadowing”) and the technique does not provide information on plaque composition or risk of plaque rupture. It is therefore virtually impossible to determine whether a change in cIMT actually reflects a change in atherosclerosis plaque burden. Modern ultrasound techniques measuring plaque volume rather than a mere bi-dimensional IMT have improved the reproducibility of carotid atherosclerosis imaging and given a better appreciation of plaque volume changes,^{25,26} but have not been extensively employed for sequential imaging in randomized trials.^{27,28}

The high reproducibility of plaque imaging demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)^{29,30} rendered this technique the most advanced and reliable non-invasive tool to conduct atherosclerosis imaging. Its low scan-to-scan variability allows investigators to utilize a smaller number of patients to demonstrate the efficacy of interventions on plaque size and composition.³¹ These characteristics of high-resolution MRI imaging are particularly desirable when research is focused on mechanistic plaque studies of innovative compounds in patients with rare diseases who are difficult to discover and enroll in clinical trials.

As indicated in the previous paragraphs, the value of surrogate biomarkers is embedded in their ability to identify a disease state and its change prior to the outbreak of symptomatic disease. These properties are crucial when studying rare diseases, as the population size is limited and may be geographically widespread. According to the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) group, the average prevalence threshold for a rare disease ranges from 5 to 76 cases/100,000 people, with a global average of 40 cases/100,000 people.³² With such a small prevalence, the inclusion of thousands (or even only hundreds) of patients in a study becomes virtually impossible, thus making essential the identification of sensitive imaging biomarkers that allow the designing of studies with sufficient statistical power despite the availability of only a few dozens of patients.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Clinical Trials

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has become one of the leading non-invasive in-vivo imaging modalities for quantification and characterization of atherosclerosis. Like ultrasound, MRI does

not expose patients to ionizing radiation and can be repeated as often as required: it enables measurement of plaque burden (area and volume), luminal narrowing and plaque characteristics.^{33,34} Additionally, with MRI it is possible to assess the thickness of the fibrous-cap covering a plaque³⁵ and the extent of the lipid-rich necrotic core (LRNC),³⁶ **(Figure 2)** as well as adventitial neovascularization³⁷ and intra-plaque haemorrhage.³⁰ **Image acquisition in MRI requires a high degree of expertise although most measurements are fairly operator independent. Additionally, MRI imaging provides multi-planar 3D data with sub-millimeter spatial resolution.**³⁰ Most of the MR vascular imaging work has been performed on 1.5T (Tesla) MR scanners. However, more modern imaging at 3T provides a higher signal-to-noise ratio with better resolution compared to 1.5T. The imaging protocols have matured and sequences have been optimized enough at 3T that it is recommendable that future clinical studies be conducted with 3T scanners.

The superior reproducibility of MR resulted in the ability to conduct limited size studies to demonstrate a proof of concept. In fact, several studies have shown that significant **changes** in carotid atherosclerosis (area or volume) can be detected with moderate sample sizes (less than 40 patients per arm) within the first months or year of intervention **(Table 1 and Figure 3)**. Lee et al,³⁸ observed plaque regression within 3 months of starting statins in 24 treatment naïve patients with coronary artery disease. Saam et al³⁹ calculated that a study with only 14 subjects per arm is sufficient to assess a 5% treatment effect if the plaque volume is used as an endpoint. In a case-control study, eight cases and eight control subjects were sufficient to demonstrate that prolonged intensive lipid-lowering therapy is associated with a decrease in

lipid-rich necrotic core.⁴⁰ The power of MRI in showing reduction in atherosclerosis burden in rare diseases was clearly demonstrated in two trials employing a new HDL-mimetic drug containing recombinant human apoA1 (CER-001). In the MODE trial⁴ after 12 biweekly infusions of CER-001 in 23 patients affected by homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia, MR imaging showed a significant reduction in carotid plaque area ($P=0.008$). Similar results were obtained in the SAMBA trial⁵; in this study of 7 patients affected by familial primary hypoalphalipoproteinemia, the mean carotid plaque area was significantly reduced after 9 biweekly infusions of CER-001 (25 mm^2 to 22.8 mm^2 ; $P=0.043$). Numerous other trials have been conducted to evaluate the efficacy of lipid-lowering therapies and their ability to induce atherosclerotic plaque regression as summarized in **Table 1**. In the first ever trial, Corti et al⁴¹ administered simvastatin to 18 asymptomatic hypercholesterolemic patients with documented atherosclerotic plaques in the carotid arteries and/or the aorta. The statin treatment induced an 8% regression of the aortic plaque area at 12 months ($p<0.001$) and the carotid plaque area regressed by 15% ($p<0.001$). Corresponding changes were also seen in vessel wall thickness. Subsequent studies assessed the comparative ability of different doses of statins to achieve plaque regression and overall showed that the more aggressive the treatment the greater the benefit as far as reduction in plaque area or volume. (**Table 1**)

Atherosclerotic Plaque Imaging With Magnetic Resonance

Several observational and case-control studies have reported the ability of MRI to identify components of the atherosclerotic plaque that might be of interest as goals of therapy. It is

however important to note that none of these parameters has been linked with an outcome of interest to date. The excellent soft tissue contrast generated from differences in relaxation times (T1 and T2) and proton density enables the use of MR imaging to obtain detailed information about atherosclerotic plaque composition besides accurate plaque area and volume measurements. Histopathological studies have demonstrated that ruptured plaques causing acute vascular events are inflamed, contain microcalcifications, a large necrotic core, a thin fibrous cap covering the core, intraplaque neo-angiogenesis and hemorrhage, and they demonstrate outward (positive) remodeling. Each of these characteristics represents a potential imaging target for identifying high-risk plaques with an increased risk of rupture. Many of these plaque characteristics can be accurately identified on MR imaging of large vessels.

Necrotic Core. The lipid rich necrotic core can be identified in the carotid arteries and aorta using multi-contrast weighted plus post-contrast T1-weighted imaging. In combination these techniques can be used to identify and quantify the lipid rich necrotic core burden (LRNC) a potentially more sensitive biomarker with which to assess the therapeutic effects of lipid lowering therapies. In the ORION trial⁴² the investigators assessed the effect of rosuvastatin on carotid plaque volume and composition. Forty-three patients with hypercholesterolemia and carotid plaques were randomized to low (5mg daily) or high (40mg daily) rosuvastatin doses. Of interest, at 24 months the plaque volume remained unchanged but there was a reduction in the percentage LRNC.

Positive Remodeling. The same *black-blood* imaging technique used to measure plaque burden can be used to identify positive remodeling. The presence of positive remodeling of the aorta and carotids on MR imaging has been shown to identify patients with an increased risk of future cardiovascular events.⁴³

Neovascularization, Plaque Hemorrhage & Luminal Thrombus. Vasa-vasorum proliferate and penetrate from the adventitia toward the subintimal space in growing atherosclerotic plaques. Since these vessels are particularly fragile they may cause intraplaque hemorrhage that promotes plaque expansion.⁴⁴ Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging allows to indirectly estimate the extent of vasa-vasorum proliferation via the calculation of a transfer constant: *K-trans*, i.e the transit of contrast from the adventitia through the vessel wall).⁴⁵ Using this technique, Dong et al⁴⁶ were able to demonstrate that intensive lipid lowering therapy for one year induced a 21% reduction in *K-trans*, suggesting an inhibitory effect on vasa-vasorum.

Methemoglobin is an intermediate breakdown product of hemoglobin formed 12-72 hours following haemorrhage. It is therefore a key component of acute thrombus and can be detected as regions of high signal on non-contrast T1-weighted echo gradient sequences. This has been used to detect both plaque rupture and intra-plaque haemorrhage in the carotid arteries. In particular, high signal can be observed in the culprit plaques of patients who have suffered a recent stroke.^{47,48} In a recent study the presence of such high-intensity

carotid plaque in stable coronary artery disease patients predicted future cardiovascular events, outperforming carotid intimal medial thickness and traditional cardiovascular risk factors.⁴⁹

Late gadolinium enhancement detects regions of extracellular expansion within carotid plaques corresponding to areas of inflammation and angiogenesis on histology,³⁰ and localizing to the culprit lesions of patients who suffered a stroke. Late gadolinium enhancement also allows assessment of the thickness of the fibrous cap in a carotid atherosclerotic plaque³¹ as well as identification of carotid plaque rupture or ulceration that may or may not be clinically apparent.^{32,33}

Alternative MRI techniques have also been explored as efficacy end-points in clinical trials. Ultra-small particles of iron oxide (USPIO) have superparamagnetic properties on T2* weighted sequences. They have been used to measure vascular inflammation, since these particles are removed from the circulation by the reticuloendothelial system and accumulate in macrophages present in atherosclerotic plaques. In the ATHEROMA study⁵⁰ the investigators randomized 47 patients with carotid stenosis >40% and high USPIO uptake on baseline scans, to either 10mg or 80mg of Atorvastatin daily for 12 weeks. A significant decrease in the carotid plaque USPIO uptake was observed in the high-dose cohort but not the low-dose group.

Taken together, these data show that MRI allows an accurate assessment of the effects of treatment on atherosclerotic plaque burden and composition with very small sample sizes and during short follow-up times. These characteristics make MRI a very efficient tool for a variety of clinical trials but especially those involving patients affected by rare diseases for which large sample sizes are unavailable. The ability of MRI to detect changes in atherosclerosis burden in rare diseases will be tested again in the recently announced TANGO trial (<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02697136?term=TANGO&rank=6>). In this phase III multi-center trial, 30 patients with familial primary hypoalphalipoproteinemia on optimal lipid lowering medical therapy, will be randomized to treatment with an HDL mimetic compound or placebo. The primary end-point of the trial will be change in carotid mean plaque area measured by 3T MRI after 24 weeks of treatment. Given the small number of individuals recruitable with such a rare disease and the difficulty to assess efficacy, MR appears to be the perfect tool to provide the answer to this difficult question.

In summary, in a substantial and growing number of cases the scientific, clinical and pharmaceutical communities have embraced non-invasive cardiovascular imaging to evaluate changes in human atherosclerosis as a desirable method to assess therapeutic efficacy of novel and innovative drugs. Development of pharmaceutical agents designed to slow or prevent atherosclerotic disease and improve cardiovascular health can benefit from these imaging markers. The available evidence shows that MRI allows an accurate assessment of the effects of treatment on atherosclerotic plaque burden and composition with very small sample sizes and

short follow-up times. Like cIMT and other imaging modalities, however, to date there have been no reports of prospective clinical studies linking MRI-measured atherosclerosis with incident clinical events, and these data will need to be actively collected in future trials.

Table 1. Effect of lipid modifying drugs on carotid atherosclerosis assessed by magnetic resonance imaging

First Author's Name	Number of subjects	MRI parameter	Study Drug	Baseline and change in LDL (mg/dl)	Change in MRI parameter from baseline
Corti 2001 ³⁷	18 (25 plaques)	Vessel wall area	Simvastatin	159 \pm 32 -38%	-15% (12 months)
Corti 2002 ⁵¹	21 (32 plaques)	Vessel wall area	Simvastatin	159 \pm 32 -38%	-18% (24 months)
Corti 2005 ⁵²	29 (20mg) 22 (80mg)	Vessel wall area	Simvastatin 20mg Simvastatin 80mg	154 \pm 31 and 173 \pm 33 -26% and -46%	-14% (12months) -18% (24months)
Yonemura 2005 ⁵³	21 (5mg) 19 (20mg)	Vessel wall area	Atorvastatin 5mg Atorvastatin 20mg	200 \pm 30 and 201 \pm 46 -34% and -47%	+4 \pm 16% (5mg 12 months) -18 \pm 10% (20mg, 12 months)

Lee JM 2008 ⁵⁴	24	Normalized wall index (wall area/total area)	Simvastatin 40mg (n=15) Simvastatin 10- 20mg (n=5) Atorvastatin (n=4)	Overall mean baseline 112±39 -29%	-7% (12 months)
Underhill 2008 ³⁸	18 with LRNC	Lipid-Rich Necrotic Core	Rosuvastatin 5mg Rosuvastatin 40mg	148±27 and 153±31 -38% and -60%	-41% (24 months)
Tang 2009 ⁴³	47	USPIO uptake on T2* imaging	Atorvastatin 10mg Atorvastatin 80mg	88 and 97 +5% and -22%	-6.2% (12 weeks)
Zhao 2011 ⁵⁵	33 with LRNC	Lipid-Rich Necrotic Core	Atorvastatin ± coveasevelam ± niacin	148±29 -52%	-38% (36 months)
Sibley 2013 ⁵⁶	73	Carotid Wall	Multiple statin doses	171	-6%

		Volume	\pm niacin	-11%	(18 months)
Hovingh 2015 ⁴	23 with FH	Vessel wall area	CER-001	214 \pm 81 N/A	-2.5% (6 months)
Kootte 2015 ⁵	7 with FPHA	Vessel wall area	CER-001	77 \pm 54 N/A	-8.8% (18 weeks)

Legend: CER-001: recombinant human apolipoprotein A-1 containing HDL-mimetic particle

FH: familial hypercholesterolemia. FPHA: familial primary hypoalphalipoproteinemia. LDL: low density lipoprotein. LRNC: lipid rich necrotic core. USPIO: ultra-small particles of iron oxide. N/A: not available

Figure legends

Figure 1: Longitudinal bi-dimensional image of the right common carotid artery showing a very thick intima-media layer of the far wall (solid arrows) and mild thickening of the near wall (arrow phantoms).

Figure 2: Cross sectional high-resolution black blood image of the left carotid bulb showing a lipid rich atheromatous plaque with a thick fibrous cap. The inset shows an enlargement of the plaque encircled by the broken line. The lipid core is indicated by the white arrow (courtesy of Dr. Richard Coulden, Department of Diagnostic Imaging, University of Alberta, AB, Canada).

Figure 3: Cross sectional high-resolution black blood image of the left internal carotid artery at baseline (A) and after 6 months (B) showing increase in wall thickness at follow-up (white arrows point to the thickened carotid wall).

References

- ¹ Taylor A, Shaw LJ, Fayad Z, O'Leary D, Nissen S, Brown G, Rader D, Raggi P. Tracking atherosclerosis regression: a clinical tool in preventive cardiology. *Atherosclerosis* 2005;180:1-10
- ² Duivenvoorden R, de Groot E, Stroes ES, Kastelein JJ. Surrogate markers in clinical trials--challenges and opportunities. *Atherosclerosis* 2009 Sep;206(1):8-16
- ³ Raggi P, Taylor A, Fayad Z, O'Leary D, Nissen S, MD, Rader D, Shaw LJ. Atherosclerosis imaging: contemporary role in preventive cardiology. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:2345-2353
- ⁴ Hovingh GK, Smits LP, Stefanutti C, Soran H, Kwok S, de Graaf J, Gaudet D, Keyserling CH, Klepp H, Frick J, Paolini JF, Dasseux JL, Kastelein JJ, Stroes ES. The effect of an apolipoprotein A-I-containing high-density lipoprotein-mimetic particle (CER-001) on carotid artery wall thickness in patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia: The Modifying Orphan Disease Evaluation (MODE) study. *Am Heart J* 2015;169(5):736-742.e1.
- ⁵ Kootte RS, Smits LP, van der Valk FM, Dasseux JL, Keyserling CH, Barbaras R, Paolini JF, Santos RD, van Dijk TH, Dallinga-van Thie GM, Nederveen AJ, Mulder WJ, Hovingh GK, Kastelein JJ, Groen AK, Stroes ES. Effect of open-label infusion of an apoA-I-containing particle (CER-001) on RCT and artery wall thickness in patients with FHA. *J Lipid Res* 2015;56(3):703-12
- ⁶ Fayad ZA, Mani V, Woodward M, Kallend D, Abt M, Burgess T, Fuster V, Ballantyne CM, Stein EA, Tardif JC, Rudd JH, Farkouh ME, Tawakol A; dal-PLAQUE Investigators. Safety and efficacy of dalcetrapib on atherosclerotic disease using novel non-invasive multimodality imaging (dal-PLAQUE): a randomized clinical trial. *Lancet* 2011;378(9802):1547-59
- ⁷ Enos WF, Holmes, RH, Beyer J. Coronary disease among United States soldiers killed in action In Korea. Preliminary Report. *JAMA* 1953;152(12):1090-1093
- ⁸ Blankenhorn DH, Azen SP, Krams DM, Mack WJ, Cashin-Hemphill L, Hodis HN, DeBoer LW, Mahrer PR, Masteller MJ, Vailas LI, Alaupovic P, Hirsch LJ; MARS Research Group. Coronary angiographic changes with lovastatin therapy: the Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS). *Ann Intern Med* 1993;119:969-976
- ⁹ Jukema JW, Bruschke AVG, van Boven AJ, Reiber JH, Bal ET, Zwinderman AH, Jansen H, Boerma GJ, van Rappard FM, Lie KI, et al. Effects of lipid lowering by pravastatin on progression and regression of coronary artery disease in symptomatic men with normal to moderately elevated serum cholesterol levels: the Regression Growth Evaluation Statin Study (REGRESS). *Circulation* 1995;91:2528-2540

-
- ¹⁰ Waters D, Higginson L, Gladstone P, Kimball B, Le May M, Boccuzzi SJ, Lespérance J. Effects of monotherapy with an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor on the progression of coronary atherosclerosis as assessed by serial quantitative arteriography: the Canadian Coronary Atherosclerosis Intervention Trial. *Circulation* 1994;89:959-968
- ¹¹ Pitt B, Mancini GB, Ellis SG, Rosman HS, Park JS, McGovern ME. Pravastatin limitation of atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries (PLAC I): reduction in atherosclerosis progression and clinical events. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:1133-1139
- ¹² Levine GN, Keaney JF Jr, Vita JA. Cholesterol reduction in cardiovascular disease: clinical benefits and possible mechanisms. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:512-521
- ¹³ NIH Definition Working Group. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2001;69:89-95
- ¹⁴ Boissel JP, Collet JP, Moleur P, Haugh M. Surrogate endpoints: a basis for a rational approach. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1992;43:235-44
- ¹⁵ Prentice RL. Surrogate endpoints in clinical trials: definition and operational criteria. *Statist Med* 1989;8:431-440
- ¹⁶ Orphan Drug Report 2014. Evaluate Pharma, October 2014
- ¹⁷ http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/09/WC500003615.pdf
- ¹⁸ Nissen SE, Tardif JC, Nicholls SJ, Revkin JH, Shear CL, Duggan WT, Ruzyllo W, Bachinsky WB, Lasala GP, Tuzcu EM; ILLUSTRATE Investigators. Effect of torcetrapib on the progression of coronary atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007 Mar 29;356(13):1304-16
- ¹⁹ Pignoli P, Tremoli E, Poli A, Oreste P, Paoletti R. Intimal plus medial thickness of the arterial wall: a direct measurement with ultrasound imaging. *Circulation* 1986;74(6):1399-406
- ²⁰ Naqvi TZ, Lee MS. Carotid intima-media thickness and plaque in cardiovascular risk assessment. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;7(10):1025-38
- ²¹ Bedi US, Singh M, Singh PP, Bhuriya R, Bahekar A, Molnar J, Khosla S, Arora R. Effects of statins on progression of carotid atherosclerosis as measured by carotid intimal--medial thickness: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther* 2010;15(3):268-73

-
- ²² Bots ML, Evans GW, Riley WA, Grobbee DE. Carotid intima-media thickness measurements in intervention studies: Design options, progression rates, and sample size considerations: A point of view. *Stroke* 2003;34:2985-2994
- ²³ Finn AV, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Correlation between carotid intimal/medial thickness and atherosclerosis: A point of view from pathology. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2009;30:177-181
- ²⁴ Van Bortel LM. What does intima-media thickness tell us? *J Hypertens* 2005;23:37-39
- ²⁵ Makris GC, Lavid A, Griffin M, Geroulakos G, Nicolaides AN. Three-dimensional ultrasound imaging for the evaluation of carotid atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* 2011;219:377-383
- ²⁶ Ainsworth CD, Blake CC, Tamayo A, Beletsky V, Fenster A, Spence JD. 3D ultrasound measurement of change in carotid plaque volume: A tool for rapid evaluation of new therapies. *Stroke* 2005;36:1904-1909
- ²⁷ Schminke U, Hilker L, Motsch L, Griewing B, Kessler C. Volumetric assessment of plaque progression with 3-dimensional ultrasonography under statin therapy. *J Neuroimaging* 2002;12(3):245-51
- ²⁸ Krasinski A, Chiu B, Spence JD, Fenster A, Parraga G. Three-dimensional ultrasound quantification of intensive statin treatment of carotid atherosclerosis. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2009;35(11):1763-72
- ²⁹ Wilensky RL, Song HK, Ferrari VA. Role of magnetic resonance and intravascular magnetic resonance in the detection of vulnerable plaques. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:C48-56
- ³⁰ Luo Y, Polissar N, Han C, Yarnykh V, Kerwin WS, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Accuracy and uniqueness of three in vivo measurements of atherosclerotic carotid plaque morphology with black blood MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2003;50:75-82
- ³¹ Chu B, Zhao XQ, Saam T, et al. Feasibility of in vivo, multicontrast-weighted mr imaging of carotid atherosclerosis for multicenter studies. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2005;21:809-817
- ³² Richter T, Nestler-Parr S, Babela R, Khan ZM, Tesoro T, Molsen E, Hughes DA. Rare disease terminology and definitions-a systematic global review: Report of the ISPOR rare disease special interest group. *Value Health* 2015;18:906-914

-
- ³³ Kerwin WS, O'Brien KD, Ferguson MS, Polissar N, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Inflammation in carotid atherosclerotic plaque: a dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging study. *Radiology* 2006;241:459–468
- ³⁴ Cai J, Hatsukami TS, Ferguson MS, Kerwin WS, Saam T, Chu B, Takaya N, Polissar NL, Yuan C. In vivo quantitative measurement of intact fibrous cap and lipid-rich necrotic core size in atherosclerotic carotid plaque: comparison of high-resolution, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and histology. *Circulation* 2005;112:3437–3444.
- ³⁵ Hatsukami TS, Ross R, Polissar NL, Yuan C. Visualization of fibrous cap thickness and rupture in human atherosclerotic carotid plaque in vivo with high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2000;102:959–964.
- ³⁶ Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Hands LJ, Walton J, Rothwell PM. Histological correlates of carotid plaque surface morphology on lumen contrast imaging. *Circulation* 2004;110:2190–2197.
- ³⁷ Sun J, Song Y, Chen H, Kerwin WS, Hippe DS, Dong L, Chen M, Zhou C, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C. Adventitial perfusion and intraplaque hemorrhage: a dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI study in the carotid artery. *Stroke* 2013;44(4):1031-6
- ³⁸ Lee JM, Wiesmann F, Shirodaria C, Leeson P, Petersen SE, Francis JM, Jackson CE, Robson MD, Neubauer S, Channon KM, Choudhury RP. Early changes in arterial structure and function following statin initiation: Quantification by magnetic resonance imaging. *Atherosclerosis* 2008;197:951-958
- ³⁹ Saam T, Kerwin WS, Chu B, et al. Sample size calculation for clinical trials using magnetic resonance imaging for the quantitative assessment of carotid atherosclerosis. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2005;7:799-808
- ⁴⁰ Zhao XQ, Yuan C, Hatsukami TS, Frechette EH, Kang XJ, Maravilla KR, Brown BG. Effects of prolonged intensive lipid-lowering therapy on the characteristics of carotid atherosclerotic plaques in vivo by MRI: A case-control study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2001;21:1623-1629
- ⁴¹ Corti R, Fayad ZA, Fuster V, Worthley SG, Helft G, Chesebro J, Mercuri M, Badimon JJ. Effects of lipid-lowering by simvastatin on human atherosclerotic lesions: A longitudinal study by high-resolution, noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2001;104:249-252
- ⁴² Underhill HR, Yuan C, Zhao X-Q, Kraiss LW, Parker DL, Saam T, Chu B, Takaya N, Liu F, Polissar NL, Neradilek B, Raichlen JS, Cain VA, Waterton JC, Hamar W, Hatsukami TS. Effect of rosuvastatin therapy on carotid plaque morphology and composition in moderately

hypercholesterolemic patients: a high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging trial. *Am Heart J* 2008;155:584.e1–8.

⁴³ Mani V, Muntner P, Gidding SS, Aguiar SH, Aidi El H, Weinshelbaum KB, Taniguchi H, van der Geest R, Reiber JHC, Bansilal S, Farkouh M, Fuster V, Postley JE, Woodward M, Fayad ZA. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance parameters of atherosclerotic plaque burden improve discrimination of prior major adverse cardiovascular events. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2009;11:10

⁴⁴ Subbotin V. Neovascularization of coronary tunica intima (DIT) is the cause of coronary atherosclerosis. Lipoproteins invade coronary intima via neovascularization from adventitial vasa vasorum, but not from the arterial lumen: a hypothesis. *Theor Biol Med Model* 2012;9:11

⁴⁵ Kerwin WS, Oikawa M, Yuan C, Jarvik GP, Hatsukami TS. MR imaging of adventitial vasa vasorum in carotid atherosclerosis. *Magn Reson Med* 2008;59(3):507-14

⁴⁶ Dong L, Kerwin WS, Chen H, Chu B, Underhill HR, Neradilek MB, Hatsukami TS, Yuan C, Zhao XQ. Carotid artery atherosclerosis: effect of intensive lipid therapy on the vasa vasorum--evaluation by using dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 2011;260(1):224-31

⁴⁷ Moody AR, Alder S, Lennox G, Gladman J, Fentem P. Direct magnetic resonance imaging of carotid artery thrombus in acute stroke. *Lancet* 1999;353:122–123

⁴⁸ Murphy RE. Prevalence of complicated carotid atheroma as detected by magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with suspected carotid artery stenosis and previous acute cerebral ischemia. *Circulation* 2003;107:3053–3058

⁴⁹ Noguchi T, Yamada N, Higashi M, Goto Y, Naito H. High-intensity signals in carotid plaques on T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging predict coronary events in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:416–422

⁵⁰ Tang TY, Howarth SPS, Miller SR, Graves MJ, Patterson AJ, U-King-Im J-M, Li ZY, Walsh SR, Brown AP, Kirkpatrick PJ, Warburton EA, Hayes PD, Varty K, Boyle JR, Gaunt ME, Zalewski A, Gillard JH. The ATHEROMA (Atorvastatin Therapy: Effects on Reduction of Macrophage Activity) Study. Evaluation using ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in carotid disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:2039–2050.

⁵¹ Corti R, Fuster V, Fayad ZA, Worthley SG, Helft G, Smith D, Weinberger J, Wentzel J, Mizsei G, Mercuri M, Badimon JJ. Lipid lowering by simvastatin induces regression of human atherosclerotic lesions: two years' follow-up by high-resolution noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *Circulation* 2002; 106: 2884–2887.

-
- ⁵² Corti R, Fuster V, Fayad ZA, Worthley SG, Helft G, Chaplin WF, Muntwyler J, Viles-Gonzalez JF, Weinberger J, Smith DA, Mizsei G, Badimon JJ. Effects of aggressive versus conventional lipid-lowering therapy by simvastatin on human atherosclerotic lesions: a prospective, randomized, double-blind trial with high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;46:106–112.
- ⁵³ Yonemura A, Momiyama Y, Fayad ZA, Ayaori M, Ohmori R, Higashi K, Kihara T, Sawada S, Iwamoto N, Ogura M, Taniguchi H, Kusuhashi M, Nagata M, Nakamura H, Tamai S, Ohsuzu F. Effect of lipid-lowering therapy with atorvastatin on atherosclerotic aortic plaques detected by noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:733–742.
- ⁵⁴ Lee JMS, Wiesmann F, Shirodaria C, Leeson P, Petersen SE, Francis JM, Jackson CE, Robson MD, Neubauer S, Channon KM, Choudhury RP. Early changes in arterial structure and function following statin initiation: quantification by magnetic resonance imaging. *Atherosclerosis* 2008;197:951–958.
- ⁵⁵ Zhao X-Q, Dong L, Hatsukami T, Phan BA, Chu B, Moore A, Lane T, Neradilek MB, Polissar N, Monick D, Lee C, Underhill H, Yuan C. MR imaging of carotid plaque composition during lipid-lowering therapy a prospective assessment of effect and time course. *JACC Cardiovascular imaging* 2011;4:977–986.
- ⁵⁶ Sibley CT, Vavere AL, Gottlieb I, Cox C, Matheson M, Spooner A, Godoy G, Fernandes V, Wasserman BA, Bluemke DA, Lima JAC. MRI-measured regression of carotid atherosclerosis induced by statins with and without niacin in a randomised controlled trial: the NIA plaque study. *Heart* 2013;99:1675–1680.